



Application No.

09/133,888

Applicant(s)

Johnson

Interview Summary Examiner

Linda L. Gray

Group Art Unit 1734



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) Linda L. Gray	(3) ***
(2) Mr. Levy	(4) ***
Date of Interview 1-24-01	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief description: ■
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: n/a	
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a	
proposed amendments to Mr. Levy for review to place the	1-8-01 had been received and entered. The Examiner will fax the application in condition for allowance
	endments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC	e to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is	(including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nts that may be present in the last Office action, and since the considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last viding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
is also differed.	Linda L. GRAY

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1734

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/133,888

Applicant(s)

Johnson

Examiner

Linda L. Gray

Group Art Unit 1734



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <i>Linda L. Gray</i> (3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Levy</u> (4)	
Date of Interview	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to 🔲 applicant 🔲 applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement ⊠ was reached. ☐ was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 49 and 50	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
n/a in view of the amendment filed 1-8-01, see Office action of 8-16-00.	
Mr. Levy agreed to a proposed Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. The changes are provided for clarity.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	
Supplied National Value and a state of the form unless it is an attack to the state of Conference of	
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	